

Item: **16** of **40** | [Return to headlines](#) | [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)[MSN-Support](#) | [Help Index](#)Select alternative format: [BibTeX](#) | [ASCII](#)**96a:30016**[Koepf, Wolfram](#) (D-KOZU); [Schmersau, Dieter](#) (D-KOZU)**Bounded nonvanishing functions and Bateman functions. (English summary)**[Complex Variables Theory Appl.](#) **25** (1994), *no. 3*, 237–259.[30C50](#) ([30C80](#) [33C15](#) [33C50](#))

Journal

Article

Doc  
Delivery**References: 0****Reference Citations: 0****Review Citations: 0**

An outstanding, difficult problem is that of finding sharp bounds for the coefficients of functions  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  which are analytic, bounded by 1, and nonvanishing in the unit disc. Trivially, all  $|a_n|$  are bounded by 1. The Krzyż conjecture is that  $|a_n| \leq 2/e$  for all  $n > 0$ . This has been proved for only  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , and 4. In an earlier paper, S. Scheinberg, L. Zalcman and the reviewer [*J. Analyse Math.* **31** (1977), 169–190; MR **58** #11358] considered this problem from a number of different points of view and since then a number of papers have appeared considering different aspects of the problem. The authors consider the problem from the point of view of subordination, observing that any  $f(z)$  in the class is subordinate to  $\exp\{-t(1+z)/(1-z)\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(t)z^n$ , and studying bounds on the  $F_n(t)$ . (Notice that it is not even immediately evident that all of the  $F_n(t)$  satisfy the Krzyż conjecture.) These  $F_n(t)$  turn out to be functions studied by Bateman via an integral representation. Results of Bateman and in this paper give a difference equation, a differential equation, and residue representations. Examples of results obtained are that  $|F_n(t)|$  is bounded above by  $(1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2}$ ,  $2n/t$ , and  $(4t/(2n - t))^{1/2}$ . It is then shown that  $|F_n(t)| \leq 2/e$  for all  $t$  and all  $n > 21138$ . The paper makes use of methods more usually used in the study of special functions and contains a great many results about the Bateman functions  $F_n(t)$ .

**Reviewed** by [James A. Hummel](#)

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 1996, 2003