

On Nonvanishing Univalent Functions with Real Coefficients*

Wolfram Koepf

Freie Universität Berlin, Fachbereich Mathematik, Arnimallee 3, 1000 Berlin 33

Using the well-known Brickman representation for univalent functions, it is shown that the extreme points of the set $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ of nonvanishing univalent functions with real coefficients omit only real values. Furthermore a support point of $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ is shown to have the same property.

1. Introduction

Let A be the set of analytic functions of the unit disk \mathbb{D} . A is a locally convex linear space, so that the Krein-Mil'man theorem applies; i.e. the extreme points of a compact family F span the closed convex hull: $\overline{\text{co}} EF = \overline{\text{co}} F$. For an introduction look for example in [5].

Recently Duren and Schober [4] examined the set S_0 of univalent functions which are normalized by the conditions

$$f(0) = 1, \quad 0 \notin f(\mathbb{D}).$$

$S_0 \cup \{1\}$ is a compact subset of A . Duren and Schober had been interested in extreme points and support points of S_0 . Recall that a support point of a family F is a function which maximizes the real part of some continuous linear functional, that is not constant over F .

We shall give a characterization of the extreme points and support points of the subfamily $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ of nonvanishing univalent functions whose Taylor expansions at the origin have real coefficients.

2. Extreme Points of $S_0(\mathbb{R})$

Using the usual Brickman representation we get:

* This work is part of the author's doctoral thesis, accepted by the Department of Mathematics at the Free University of Berlin in 1984

Lemma. *Let be $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R})$. If f omits some nonreal value a , then f has a proper convex representation in $S_0(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Because f is univalent and has real coefficients, the range of f is symmetric with respect to the real axis, so that f omits \bar{a} , too.

Because $a \notin \mathbb{R}$, we have now two omitted values which lie on an ellipse with foci in 0 and 1, so that f has the Brickman representation ([1], see [4], Theorem 1)

$$f = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2,$$

with $t \in]0, 1[$ and $(k=1, 2)$

$$f_k := \psi_k \circ f \in S_0, \quad \psi_{1,2}(w) := \frac{w \pm \psi(w) \mp \psi(0)}{1 + \psi(1) \mp \psi(0)}$$

where

$$\psi(w) := \sqrt{(w-a)(w-\bar{a})}.$$

Now it remains to show that f_k ($k=1, 2$) or equivalently ψ (expanded at the point 1) has real coefficients.

For $w \in \mathbb{R}$ one has $\psi(w) \in \mathbb{R}$, implying the result. \square

Now we are able to state the following result about the extreme points.

Theorem 1. *Every extreme point of $S_0(\mathbb{R}) \cup \{1\}$ has the form*

$$f(z) = \frac{(1+z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)}, \quad y \in \partial\mathbb{D} \setminus \{-1\} \quad \text{or}$$

$$f(z) = \frac{(1-z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)}, \quad y \in \partial\mathbb{D} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Proof. Because of the Lemma an extreme point of $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ omits only real values.

Thus with the origin all negative real numbers are omitted (because the range is simply connected). We next show that an extreme point of $S_0(\mathbb{R}) \cup \{1\}$ omits no interval of the form $]-\infty, \varepsilon]$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. In this case there would be a representation

$$g = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot f + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot 1$$

with a certain function $f \in S_0$ with similar range. This is a representation within $S_0 \cup \{1\}$, thus g is not extreme.

So an extreme point omits $]-\infty, 0]$ and possibly a second real interval $[1+\varepsilon, \infty[$, $\varepsilon > 0$. But the functions having this geometric property are exactly of the desired form. \square

3. Support Points of $S_0(\mathbb{R})$

Using the result about the extreme points we are able to give the following result about the support points of $S_0(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2. Every support point of $S_0(R)$ has the form

$$f(z) = 1 + \kappa \frac{z}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)}$$

for some $y \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\kappa \in [-2(1 - \operatorname{Re} y), 2(1 + \operatorname{Re} y)]$, $\kappa \neq 0$.

Proof. Let L be a continuous linear functional over A which is not constant within $S_0(R)$.

If g is a support point of $S_0(R)$ with respect to L , we have

$$M := \operatorname{Re} Lg = \max_{h \in S_0(R)} \operatorname{Re} Lh.$$

Because of Theorem 1 the function g has the Choquet representation (see e.g. [5])

$$g(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1+z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)} d\mu_+(y) + \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)} d\mu_-(y) \tag{1}$$

with positive measures μ_+ and μ_- , $\mu_+(\partial\mathbb{D}) + \mu_-(\partial\mathbb{D}) = 1$, which are supported by the sets

$$\left\{ y \in \partial\mathbb{D} \mid \frac{(1 \pm z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)} \in E(S_0(R) \cup \{1\}) \right\}$$

respectively.

Therefore it follows that μ_+ -a.e. and μ_- -a.e. respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} L \left\{ \frac{(1+z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)} \right\} &= M \quad \text{and} \\ \operatorname{Re} L \left\{ \frac{(1-z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)} \right\} &= M. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Let H_{\pm} be the subsets of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ in which (2) hold. The functions l_{\pm} defined by

$$l_{\pm}(y) := L \left\{ \frac{(1 \pm z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-z/y)} \right\}$$

are analytic in a neighborhood of $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

Furthermore, let $g_{\pm}(y) := \frac{1}{2}(l_{\pm}(y) + \overline{l_{\pm}(1/\bar{y})})$. Then g_{\pm} is analytic in a neighborhood of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and $g_{\pm}(y) = \operatorname{Re} l_{\pm}(y)$ whenever $|y| = 1$.

Assume now, for example H_+ were infinite. Then g_+ takes the value M infinitely often in its domain of analyticity and is thus constant, in particular $\operatorname{Re} l_+(y) = M$ whenever $|y| = 1$.

But then we get substituting $y = -1$, that the constant function 1 is a support point with respect to L . Because of the representations

$$1 = \frac{1+z^k}{2} + \frac{1-z^k}{2}, \quad k \geq 1$$

it follows that $1 \pm z^k$ are support points with respect to L for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the Toeplitz coefficients b_k of L (see e.g. [5], p. 36) vanish for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus L is constant in $S_0(R)$, which contradicts the assumption.

So H_+ and $-$ as a similar construction shows $-H_-$ are finite, and (1) becomes a finite convex representation.

If it is a proper convex representation with a most two points, then the represented function g is either multi-valued, because g has poles on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of order at least 4 (see [2], p. 103), or g is of the form $(t \in]0, 1[)$

$$g(z) = t \frac{(1+z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)} + (1-t) \frac{(1-z)^2}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)}$$

which gives the desired result. \square

4. Application to Other Normalizations

Originally Brickman obtained a representation for the family S of univalent functions, normalized by

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1.$$

Because the construction is similar, our method works also in the family $S(R) := \{f \in S \mid f \text{ has real MacLaurin coefficients}\}$.

Corollary. *Every extreme point of $S(R)$ is of the form*

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)}, \quad |y| = 1. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Using the Brickman representation in S (see e.g. [3], Theorem 9.5) one gets similarly as in our Lemma, that an extreme point of $S(R)$ only omits real values, which is equivalent to representation (3). \square

We remark that this is a refinement of a result due to Brickman, MacGregor and Wilken [2], Theorem 4, who showed representation (3) for an extreme point of the closed convex hull of $S(R)$. Because of a general result due to Mil'man (see e.g. [5]), one knows *a priori* that

$$E \overline{\text{co}} S(R) \subset ES(R).$$

[2], Theorem 4, gives also a proof of the statement

$$\{f \in S(R) \mid f \text{ has representation (3)}\} \subset E \overline{\text{co}} S(R),$$

so that all families are equal:

$$E \overline{\text{co}} S(R) = ES(R) = \{f \in S(R) \mid f \text{ has representation (3)}\}.$$

Our method also applies to other normalizations, for example to the Montel classes with

$$f(z_1) = w_1, f(z_2) = w_2, \quad z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

because in this case there is a Brickman representation, too (see [5], Theorem 8.5). Thus here also the extreme points omit only real values.

References

1. Brickman, L.: Extreme points of the set of univalent functions. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **76**, 372–374 (1970)
2. Brickman, L., MacGregor, T.H., Wilken, D.R.: Convex hulls of some classical families of univalent functions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **156**, 91–107 (1971)
3. Duren, P.L.: *Univalent functions*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo: Springer 1983
4. Duren, P.L., Schober, G.: Nonvanishing univalent functions. *Math. Z.* **170**, 195–216 (1980)
5. Schober, G.: *Univalent functions-selected topics*. Lecture notes **478**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1975

Received April 19, 1985