

## CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS AND LINEAR-INVARIANT FAMILIES

WOLFRAM KOEPF

1. Introduction. Landau showed in 1925 [6] that in the class  $S$  of normalized schlicht functions on the unit disk we can get a distortion theorem for the  $n$ -th derivative if we have ensured the first  $n$  Bieberbach coefficient estimates to be correct.

We shall modify this result for linear-invariant families. Families of close-to-convex functions and of functions of bounded boundary rotation will be showed to be linear-invariant.

Because of the coefficient estimate for close-to-convex functions and functions of bounded boundary rotation derived by Aharonov and Friedland [1], it is possible to get the distortion theorem for the  $n$ -th derivative for all  $n$ , but here we obtain the same conclusion more elementarily (and without using the linear-invariance), just because the coefficient estimate is given for all  $n$ .

All functions  $f$  considered here are analytic functions on the unit disk with normalization  $f(0)=0, f'(0)=1$ , and they are locally schlicht, i.e.,  $\{z|f'(z)=0\}=\emptyset$ . Let  $N$  be the class of such functions.

Pommerenke defined a linear-invariant family in [9] and showed some properties of such families. A subset  $F$  of  $N$  is called linear-invariant if it is closed under the re-normalized composition with a schlicht automorphism of the unit disk. If the modulus of the second Taylor coefficient is bounded in  $F$ , we define the order  $\alpha$  of the linear-invariant family to be

$$(1) \quad \alpha := \frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in F} |f''(0)|.$$

An example of a linear-invariant family of order 2 is the class  $S$  of normalized schlicht functions on the unit disk.

Pommerenke [9] (pp. 115—116) generalized the well-known Bieberbach distortion theorems [2] (see [12] p. 178) for  $S$  to the concept of linear-invariant families and showed for a linear-invariant family  $F$  of order  $\alpha$  the relations

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right), \\ |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+|z|)^{\alpha-1}}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha+1}}. \end{cases}$$

We want to give further examples of linear-invariant families. Let  $V_k$  be the class of functions of bounded boundary rotation  $k\pi$  (see Lehto [7])

$$V_k := \left\{ f \in N \mid \forall r \in [0, 1[ \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + z \frac{f''}{f'} \right) \right| d\vartheta \leq k\pi \right], z = re^{i\vartheta} \right\}$$

for  $k \in [2, \infty[$ . Let further  $C_\beta$  be the class of close-to-convex functions of order  $\beta$  defined by Reade [11] and Pommerenke [10],

$$C_\beta := \left\{ f \in N \mid \exists \varphi \text{ schlicht with convex range } \left[ \left| \arg \frac{f'}{\varphi'} \right| \leq \beta \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\},$$

for  $\beta \in [0, \infty[$ .

Properties of these classes are given in the book of Schober [12] (Chapter 2).

As special cases we have  $V_2 = C_0$ , the well-known class of normalized convex functions, and  $C_1$ , the class of close-to-convex functions defined by Kaplan [5]. The classes  $V_k$  and  $C_\beta$  are increasing in  $k$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, and until  $k=4$  and  $\beta=1$  they contain only schlicht functions.

Aharonov and Friedland [1] showed that the Taylor coefficients of functions in  $C_\beta$  as well as in  $V_k$  are dominated in modulus by the corresponding coefficients of the function  $h_\alpha$  defined by

$$h_\alpha(z) := \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right)$$

with  $\alpha := k/2$  resp.  $\alpha := \beta + 1$ . That means: For  $f \in V_{2\alpha}$  or  $f \in C_{\alpha-1}$  we have

$$(3) \quad |f^{(n)}(0)| \leq h_\alpha^{(n)}(0).$$

In the proof of this inequality they used the inclusion

$$(4) \quad V_{2\alpha} \subset C_{\alpha-1}.$$

As closed normal families all classes  $V_k$  and  $C_\beta$  are compact with respect to the topology of locally uniform convergence.

Now we prove the linear-invariance of these classes.

**2. Lemma.** *For every  $\beta \in [0, \infty[$  the family  $C_\beta$  is linear-invariant of order  $\beta + 1$ . For every  $k \in [2, \infty[$  the family  $V_k$  is linear-invariant of order  $k/2$ .*

*Proof.* Reade [11] and Pommerenke [10] showed the desired property for  $C_\beta$  if  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ . In this case the functions are all schlicht and so this property follows from a geometrical description of the classes.

We now take an arbitrary  $\beta \in [0, \infty[$ . Let  $f \in C_\beta$  with convex  $\varphi$  such that

$$\left| \arg \frac{f'}{\varphi'} \right| \leq \beta \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Our first step will be to show that  $C_\beta$  has the rotation-invariance property, which means

$$f \in C_\beta \Rightarrow f_x \in C_\beta$$

whenever  $|x|=1$  and

$$f_x(z) := \frac{f(xz)}{x}.$$

The function  $\varphi_1$  defined by  $\varphi_1(z) := \varphi(xz)/x$  has convex range and obeys the inequality

$$\left| \arg \frac{f'_x}{\varphi'_1} \right| \leq \beta \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

So  $C_\beta$  inherits this property from  $C_0$ . We show now that  $C_\beta$  inherits the linear-invariance property, too. Therefore it is enough to show that for

$$l(z) = \frac{z+r}{1+rz} \quad \text{with } r \in [0, 1[$$

and for  $f \in C_\beta$  also the function

$$g := \frac{f \circ l - f \circ l(0)}{(f \circ l)'(0)}$$

is in  $C_\beta$ . Now we have to find a convex  $\varphi_2$  with

$$\left| \arg \frac{g'(z)}{\varphi'_2(z)} \right| \leq \beta \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We get

$$\left| \arg \frac{g'(z)}{\varphi'_2(z)} \right| = \left| \arg \frac{f'(l)l'(z)}{f'(r)\varphi'_2(z)} \right| = \left| \arg \frac{f'(l)}{\varphi'_2(l)} + \arg \frac{\varphi'_2(l)l'(z)}{\varphi'_2(z)f'(r)} \right|.$$

Since  $f$  is in  $C_\beta$ , this expression will be less than or equal to  $\beta\pi/2$  if we take

$$\varphi_2 := \frac{\varphi \circ l}{f'(r)}.$$

One sees from the geometric definition that the convexity of  $\varphi$  implies the convexity of  $\varphi_2$ .

The order is given by the coefficient domination theorem (3).

In the case of the families  $V_k$  the same argumentation gives the order. The linear-invariance property is a consequence of the geometrical interpretation of the definition. Because the ranges of  $f$  and  $g$  are similar, the limit boundary rotation of the two functions coincide,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''}{f'} \right) \right| d\vartheta = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{zg''}{g'} \right) \right| d\vartheta,$$

since the integrals are monotone in  $r$  (see [8], p. 12) and the suprema are equal. Lehto [7] (p. 12) already used the linear-invariance of  $V_k$ .  $\square$

Now we come to our main result.

**3. Theorem.** *Let  $\alpha \in [1, \infty[$ , let  $F$  be a linear-invariant family of order  $\alpha$  and  $n \geq 2$ . If for all  $f \in F$  and all  $m$ ,  $2 \leq m \leq n$ ,*

$$|f^{(m)}(0)| \leq h_{\alpha}^{(m)}(0),$$

*then the corresponding distortion theorems*

$$|f^{(m)}(re^{i\theta})| \leq h_{\alpha}^{(m)}(r)$$

*hold for all  $r \in [0, 1[$  and all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*In particular we get for all linear-invariant families of order  $\alpha$*

$$|f''(re^{i\theta})| \leq 2(\alpha + r) \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha-2}}{(1-r)^{\alpha+2}} = h_{\alpha}''(r).$$

*Proof.* We generalize a result due to Landau [6] (see [12], p. 179).

We want to transform the information about  $|f^{(m)}(0)|$  from the origin to an arbitrary point. Every linear-invariant family is of course rotation-invariant, and so we only need to consider a positive real point  $r$ .

Let be  $f \in F$  and  $l$  the Möbius-transform with

$$l(z) = \frac{z+r}{1-rz}$$

and let  $g$  be the composition

$$g = f \circ l.$$

If  $g$  has the expansion

$$g(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m z^m,$$

we get for  $f$

$$f(z) = g \circ l^{-1}(z) = g\left(\frac{z-r}{1-rz}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \left(\frac{z-r}{1-rz}\right)^m.$$

Because of the generalized product rule

$$f = uv \Rightarrow f^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^{(k)} v^{(n-k)}$$

and the formula

$$[(z-r)^m]^{(n)}|_{z=r} = n! \delta_{nm}$$

we get

$$f^{(n)}(r) = \sum_{m=0}^n c_m \binom{n}{m} m! [(1-rz)^{-m}]^{(n-m)}|_{z=r}$$

and further

$$(5) \quad f^{(n)}(r) = n! \sum_{m=1}^n c_m r^{n-m} \binom{n-1}{m-1} (1-r^2)^{-n}.$$

Because of the linear-invariance property it follows from  $f \in F$  that

$$\frac{g - g(0)}{g'(0)} \in F$$

and so the given coefficient estimate shows

$$|c_m| \leq \frac{h_\alpha^{(m)}(0)}{m!} |g'(0)| \quad \text{for all } m \leq n.$$

If we take (5) with  $n:=1$  we get

$$|c_1| = |g'(0)| = (1-r^2)|f'(r)|.$$

At that stage we utilize the linear-invariance property for the second time, using the distortion theorem (2) for the first derivative. So we get

$$|c_m| \leq \frac{h_\alpha^{(m)}(0)}{m!} \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^\alpha \quad \text{for all } m \leq n$$

and

$$(6) \quad |f^{(n)}(r)| \leq n! \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{h_\alpha^{(m)}(0)}{m!} \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^\alpha r^{n-m} \binom{n-1}{m-1} (1-r^2)^{-n}$$

(as all terms here are positive). We shall show that the right-hand term equals  $h_\alpha^{(n)}(r)$ . With  $f:=h_\alpha$  we get

$$h_\alpha \circ l(z) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \left(\frac{1+l(z)}{1-l(z)}\right)^\alpha - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha - 1 \right)$$

and we write

$$h_\alpha \circ l(z) = Ah_\alpha(z) + B$$

with

$$A = \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^\alpha,$$

$$B = h_\alpha(r).$$

So we have

$$h_\alpha \circ l^{(m)}(0) = \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^\alpha h_\alpha^{(m)}(0),$$

and the right-hand side of (6) gets the form

$$n! \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{h_\alpha \circ l^{(m)}(0)}{m!} r^{n-m} \binom{n-1}{m-1} (1-r^2)^{-n}.$$

Looking back to formula (5) we see that this is an expression for  $h_\alpha^{(n)}(r)$ . So we get our conclusion for the index  $m:=n$ . For  $m < n$  the proof coincides with the given one and our result follows.

In the special case  $n:=2$  we get the distortion theorem because of the definition of the order. (Bieberbach was the first who proved this distortion theorem in the class  $S$  [3].)  $\square$

4. Corollary. Let  $\alpha \in [1, \infty[$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the following equality holds:

$$\max_{f \in C_{\alpha-1}} \max_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(n)}(re^{i\vartheta})| = \max_{f \in V_{2\alpha}} \max_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(n)}(re^{i\vartheta})| = h_{\alpha}^{(n)}(r).$$

*Proof.* Because of the compactness of the classes the maximum exists. Formulae (2) for  $n \in \{0, 1\}$  and our theorem for  $n \geq 2$  show what maximum we can hope to get.

The well-known results

$$h_{\alpha} \in V_{2\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\alpha} \in C_{\alpha-1}$$

make the results sharp.  $\square$

5. Remark. The theorem we proved shows that the linear-invariance property helps us to obtain successive distortion theorems for the  $n$ -th derivative in an arbitrary linear-invariant family from the corresponding coefficient estimates.

But if we have — as in the cases  $C_{\beta}$  and  $V_k$  — the coefficient estimates for all  $n$ , we can get the distortion theorems more elementarily and without using the linear-invariance property from the following Lemma.

The Lemma arises from a note of Doppel and Volkmann [4], who used it solving a similar problem for another class.

6. Lemma. Let in the unit disk

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

and

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

with  $b_n \in [0, \infty[$  for all  $n$ . If

$$|a_n| \leq b_n$$

holds for all  $n$ , we get

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq g^{(n)}(|z|)$$

for all  $z$  in the unit disk.

*Proof.* The identity

$$f^{(n)}(z) = n! \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} a_k z^{k-n}$$

and the corresponding one for  $g$  imply

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(n)}(z)| &= n! \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} a_k z^{k-n} \right| \leq n! \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left| \binom{k}{n} a_k z^{k-n} \right| = n! \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} |a_k| |z|^{k-n} \\ &\leq n! \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} b_k |z|^{k-n} = g^{(n)}(|z|). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] AHARONOV, D., and S. FRIEDLAND: On an inequality connected with the coefficient conjecture for functions of bounded boundary rotation. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math.* 524, 1973, 1—13.
- [2] BIEBERBACH, L.: Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln. - *Sitzungsberichte der Königl. Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* 1916, 940—955.
- [3] BIEBERBACH, L.: Aufstellung und Beweis des Drehungssatzes für schlichte konforme Abbildungen. - *Math. Z.* 4, 1919, 295—305.
- [4] DOPPEL, K., und L. VOLKMANN: Koeffizientenabschätzung für Funktionen, deren erste Ableitung beschränktes Argument hat. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math.* 4, 1978/1979, 259—265.
- [5] KAPLAN, W.: Close-to-convex schlicht functions. - *Michigan Math. J.* 1, 1952, 169—185.
- [6] LANDAU, E.: Einige Bemerkungen über schlichte Abbildung. - *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* 34, 1925/26, 239—243.
- [7] LEHTO, O.: On the distortion of conformal mappings with bounded boundary rotation. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math.-Phys.* 124, 1952, 1—14.
- [8] PAATERO, V.: Über die konforme Abbildung von Gebieten deren Ränder von beschränkter Drehung sind. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.* 33:9, 1931, 1—78.
- [9] POMMERENKE, CH.: Linear-invariante Familien analytischer Funktionen I. - *Math. Ann.* 155, 1964, 108—154.
- [10] POMMERENKE, CH.: On close-to-convex analytic functions. - *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114, 1965, 176—186.
- [11] READE, M. O.: The coefficients of close-to-convex functions. - *Duke Math. J.* 23, 1956, 459—462.
- [12] SCHÖBER, G.: Univalent functions — Selected topics. - *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 478, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1975.

Freie Universität Berlin  
Fachbereich Mathematik  
Arnimallee 2—6  
D 1000 Berlin 33  
Bundesrepublik Deutschland

Received 21 April 1983