

```

[> restart;
[> read "qFPS.mpl"; with(qFPS):
[ Equation (64), Proposition 9
[> RE:=4*p^(4*k+10)*(p^4-1)^3*(p^(4*k)-p^(4*n))*(a^2*c^2*p^(4*n+4*k)
  )-1)*d(k)+2*p^(4*n+2*k+5)*(p^4-1)^3*(p^2+1)*(p^(4*k+4)-1)*(c*p^(2*k+1)-1)*
  (a*p^(2*k+1)-1)*(a*c*p^(4*k+2)-1)*d(k+1)+p^(4*n)*(p^4-1)^3*(p^(4*k+4)-1)*(p^(4*k+8)-1)*(c*p^(2*k+1)-1)*(c*p^(2*k+3)-1)*
  (a*p^(2*k+1)-1)*(a*p^(2*k+3)-1)*d(k+2)=0;
RE := 4 p^(4 k + 10) (p^4 - 1)^3 (p^(4 k) - p^(4 n)) (a^2 c^2 p^(4 n + 4 k) - 1) d(k) + 2
      p^(4 n + 2 k + 5) (p^4 - 1)^3 (p^2 + 1) (p^(4 k + 4) - 1) (c p^(2 k + 1) - 1) (a p^(2 k + 1) - 1)
      (a c p^(4 k + 2) - 1) d(k + 1) + p^(4 n) (p^4 - 1)^3 (p^(4 k + 4) - 1) (p^(4 k + 8) - 1)
      (c p^(2 k + 1) - 1) (c p^(2 k + 3) - 1) (a p^(2 k + 1) - 1) (a p^(2 k + 3) - 1) d(k + 2) = 0
The following command computes two linearly independent q-hypergeometric solutions of the
recurrence equation RE using the Petkovsek-Horn algorithm:
[> TIME:=time():res:=qHypergeomSolveRE(RE,d(k),var=p,qsimplified=false,output=basis);
time()-TIME;
res := 
$$\left[ 2^k \frac{q\text{pochhammer}\left(\frac{1}{p^n}, p, k\right) q\text{pochhammer}\left(-\frac{1}{p^n}, p, k\right)}{q\text{pochhammer}\left(-a c(p^n)^2, p^2, k\right) p^{(k(k+2))} e^{(-I k \pi)}} / (q\text{pochhammer}(a p, p^2, k) q\text{pochhammer}(c p, p^2, k) q\text{pochhammer}(-p, p, k) q\text{pochhammer}(-I p, p, k) q\text{pochhammer}(p I, p, k) q\text{pochhammer}(p, p, k)), 2^k \frac{q\text{pochhammer}\left(a c(p^n)^2, p^2, k\right)}{q\text{pochhammer}\left(\frac{-I}{p^n}, p, k\right) q\text{pochhammer}\left(\frac{I}{p^n}, p, k\right) p^{(k(k+2))} e^{(-I k \pi)}} / (q\text{pochhammer}(a p, p^2, k) q\text{pochhammer}(c p, p^2, k) q\text{pochhammer}(-p, p, k) q\text{pochhammer}(-I p, p, k) q\text{pochhammer}(p I, p, k) q\text{pochhammer}(p, p, k)) \right]$$

```

83.959

The following term is our output in Eq. (62) and we show in the sequel that the two coefficients of $F_n(x)$ agree:

```

[> printedres1:=qpochhammer(q^(-(1/2)*n),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(-a*
  c*q^((1/2)*n),q^(1/2),k)*(-2)^k*q^((1/4)*k*(k+2))/(qpochhammer(q
  ^((1/2),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(-q^(1/2),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(a*
  q^(1/4),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(c*q^(1/4),q^(1/2),k));
printedres1 := qpochhammer $\left(q^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \sqrt{q}, k\right)$  qpochhammer $\left(-a c q^{\frac{n}{2}}, \sqrt{q}, k\right)$  (-2) $^k$ 
```

```


$$q^{\left(\frac{k(k+2)}{4}\right)} / \left( q \text{pochhammer}(\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}, k) \text{pochhammer}(-\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}, k) \right.$$


$$\left. \text{pochhammer}(aq^{1/4}, \sqrt{q}, k) \text{pochhammer}(cq^{1/4}, \sqrt{q}, k) \right)$$

> rat1 := (simplify(subs(q=p^4, printedres1), power) assuming p>0)/res[1];
rat1 := qpochhammer(p^{(-2 n)}, p^2, k) qpochhammer(-a c p^{(2 n)}, p^2, k) (-1)^k
      qpochhammer(-p, p, k) qpochhammer(-I p, p, k) qpochhammer(p I, p, k)
      qpochhammer(p, p, k) / \left( qpochhammer(p^2, p^2, k) qpochhammer(-p^2, p^2, k) \right.

$$\left. qpochhammer\left(\frac{1}{p^n}, p, k\right) qpochhammer\left(-\frac{1}{p^n}, p, k\right) qpochhammer\left(-a c (p^n)^2, p^2, k\right) \right.$$


$$e^{(-I k \pi)})$$

> qsimpcomb(subs(k=k+1, rat1)/rat1, QDE);

$$1$$

> qsimpcomb(subs(k=0, rat1), QDE);

$$1$$


```

The two above computations show that $\text{rat1}(k+1) = \text{rat1}(k)$ and that $\text{rat1}(0) = 1$, therefore $\text{rat1}(k) = 1$. The second representation in Eq. (62) follows directly using the hypergeometric representation of $F_k(x)$, see Eq. (52).

The following term is our output in Eq. (63) and we show in the sequel that the two coefficients of $F_n(x)$ agree:

```
> printedres2:=qpochhammer(-q^(-(1/2)*n),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(a*c*q^((1/2)*n),q^(1/2),k)*(-2)^k*q^((1/4)*k*(k+2))/(qpochhammer(q^(1/2),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(-q^(1/2),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(a*q^(1/4),q^(1/2),k)*qpochhammer(c*q^(1/4),q^(1/2),k));
```

$$\text{printedres2} := \frac{q^{\left(\frac{k(k+2)}{4}\right)} \left(\frac{-n}{2}, \sqrt{q}, k \right) \text{qpochhammer}\left(a c q^{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}, \sqrt{q}, k\right) (-2)^k}{(\text{qpochhammer}(\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}, k) \text{qpochhammer}(-\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}, k))}$$

```
> rat2:=(simplify(subs(q=p^4, printedres2), power) assuming p>0)/res[2]:
```

$$\text{rat2} := \frac{\text{qpochhammer}(-p^{(-2n)}, p^2, k) \text{qpochhammer}(a c p^{(2n)}, p^2, k) (-1)^k}{\text{qpochhammer}(-p, p, k) \text{qpochhammer}(-I p, p, k) \text{qpochhammer}(p I, p, k)} \\ \text{qpochhammer}(p, p, k) \quad \left/ \right. \quad \begin{cases} \text{qpochhammer}(p^2, p^2, k) \text{qpochhammer}(-p^2, p^2, k) \end{cases}$$

```

qpochhammer(a c (pn)2, p2, k) qpochhammer(-I, p, k) qpochhammer(I, p, k) e^(-I k π)
> qsimpcomb(subs(k=k+1, rat2)/rat2, QDE);
1
> qsimpcomb(subs(k=0, rat2), QDE);
1
The two above computations show that rat2(k+1)=rat2(k) and that rat2(0)=1, therefore rat2(k)=1.
The second representation in Eq. (62) follows directly using the hypergeometric representation of
Fk(x), see Eq. (52).
>

```